arXiv:1301.2704v1 [math.RA] 12 Jan 2013

Second Cohomology of q-deformed Witt superalgebras

Faouzi Ammar
Université de Sfax
Faculté des Sciences,
B.P. 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisia
Faouzi.Ammar@rnn.fss.tn

Abdenacer Makhlouf
Université de Haute Alsace, LMIA,
4, rue des Frères Lumière
F-68093 Mulhouse, France
Abdenacer.Makhlouf@uha.fr

Nejib Saadaoui
Université de Sfax
Faculté des Sciences,
B.P. 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisia
najibsaadaoui@yahoo.fr

January 15, 2013

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to compute the second adjoint cohomology group of q-deformed Witt superalgebras. They are Hom-Lie superalgebras obtained by q-deformation of Witt Lie superalgebra, that is one considers σ-derivations instead of classical derivations.

Introduction

The theory of Hom-Lie superalgebras was introduced in [3]. Representations and a cohomology theories of Hom-Lie superalgebras was provided in [4]. Moreover we have studied central extensions and provide as application computations of the derivations and scalar second cohomology group of q-deformed Witt superalgebras. In this paper, we aim to provide computation of second adjoint cohomology group of q-deformed Witt superalgebras.

The Witt algebra is one of the simplest infinite dimensional Lie algebra. This Lie algebra of vector fields, was defined by E. Cartan. It is established that it admits one central extension, that is Virasoro algebras. The computation of the cohomology and the formal rigidity of Witt and Virasoro algebras was established by Fialowski [7], see also [8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 35]. For Lie superalgebras we refer to [30, 32, 33, 34].

In the first Section we review some preliminaries, the cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and deformation theory. In Section 2, we describe q-Witt superalgebras. The main result, about second adjoint cohomology of q-deformed Witt superalgebras, is stated in Section 3. Its proof is given by computing even and odd adjoint second cohomology groups.

1 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a linear superspace over a field $\mathbb{K}$ that is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded linear space with a direct sum $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1$. The elements of $\mathcal{G}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, are said to be homogenous of parity j. The parity of a homogeneous element x is denoted by $|x|$. The space $End(\mathcal{G})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded with a direct sum $End(\mathcal{G}) = End_0(\mathcal{G}) \oplus End_1(\mathcal{G})$, where $End_j(\mathcal{G}) = \{f \in End(\mathcal{G}) : f(\mathcal{G}_i) \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}\}$. The elements of $End_j(\mathcal{G})$ are said to be homogenous of parity j. Let $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{E}_n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded linear space, a linear map $f \in End(\mathcal{E})$ is called of degree s if $f(\mathcal{E}_n) \subset \mathcal{E}_{n+s}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.1. [3] A Hom-Lie superalgebra is a triple $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ consisting of a superspace $\mathcal{G}$, an even bilinear map $[.,.] : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ and an even superspace homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ satisfying

$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x], \tag{1.1}$$

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + (-1)^{|z||y|}[\alpha(z), [x, y]] + (-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha(y), [z, x]] = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

for all homogeneous element x, y, z in $\mathcal{G}$.

1.1 Cohomology of Hom-Lie Superalgebras

Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ an arbitrary vector superspace. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{G}l(V)$ be an arbitrary even linear self-map on V and $[.,.]_V : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} \times V & \to & V \\ (g, v) & \mapsto & [g, v]_V \end{array}$ be a bilinear map satisfying $[\mathcal{G}_i, V_j]_V \subset V_{i+j}$ where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Definition 1.2. The triple $(V, [.,.]_V, \beta)$ is called a Hom-module on the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1$ or $\mathcal{G}$-module V if the even bilinear map $[.,.]_V$ satisfies

$$[\alpha(x), \beta(v)]_V = \beta([x, v]_V) \tag{1.3}$$

$$[[x, y], \beta(v)]_V = [\alpha(x), [y, v]]_V - (-1)^{|x||y|} [\alpha(y), [x, v]]_V , \tag{1.4}$$

for all homogeneous elements x, y in $\mathcal{G}$ and $v \in V$.
Hence, we say that $(V, [.,.]_V, \beta)$ is a representation of $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 1.3. When β is the zero-map, we say that the module V is trivial.

Let $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ be k homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{G}$. We denote by $|(x_1, \cdots, x_k)| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_k|$ (mod 2) the parity of an element $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ in $\mathcal{G}^k$.
The set $C^k(\mathcal{G}, V)$ of k-cochains on space $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V, is the set of k-linear maps $f : \otimes^k\mathcal{G} \to V$ satisfying

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k) = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|}f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_k) \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k-1.$$

For $k = 0$ we have $C^0(\mathcal{G}, V) = V$.
The map f is called even (resp. odd) when we have $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in V_0$ (resp. $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in V_1$) for all even (resp odd) element $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathcal{G}^k$.
A k-cochain on $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V is defined to be a k-hom-cochain $f \in C^k(\mathcal{G}, V)$ such that it is compatible with α and β in the sense that $\beta \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, i.e. $\beta \circ f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = f(\alpha(x_1), \ldots, \alpha(x_k))$.
Denote $C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G}, V)$ the set of k-hom-cochains:

$$C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G}, V) = \{f \in C^k(\mathcal{G}, V) : \beta \circ f = f \circ \alpha\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Define $\delta^k : C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V) \to C^{k+1}(\mathcal{G},\ V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^k(f)(x_0,\dots,x_k)\\
&= \sum_{0\leq s<t\leq k} (-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\cdots+|x_{t-1}|)} f\Big(\alpha(x_0),\dots,\alpha(x_{s-1}),[x_s,x_t],\alpha(x_{s+1}),\dots,\widehat{x_t},\dots,\alpha(x_k)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+|x_s|(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{s-1}|)}\left[\alpha^{k-1}(x_s), f\Big(x_0,\dots,\widehat{x_s},\dots,x_k\Big)\right]_V,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f \in C^k(\mathcal{G},\ V)$, $|f|$ is the parity of f, $x_0, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{x_i}$ means that x_i is omitted.

Theorem 1.4. *[4] Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-Hom-module.*
The pair $(\oplus_{k>0}C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},\ V),\{\delta^k\}_{k>0})$ defines a cohomology complex, that is $\delta^k\circ\delta^{k-1}=0$.

Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebra and $(V,[.,.]_V,\beta)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-Hom-module. We have with respect the cohomology defined by the coboundary operators

$$\delta^k : C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V).$$

- The k-cocycles space is defined as $Z^k(\mathcal{G},V) = \ker\ \delta^k$.
  The even (resp. odd) k-cocycles space is defined as $Z^k_0(\mathcal{G},V) = Z^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V))_0$ (resp. $Z^k_1(\mathcal{G},V) = Z^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V))_1$.
- The k-coboundary space is defined as $B^k(\mathcal{G},V) = Im\ \delta^{k-1}$.
  The even (resp. odd) k-coboundaries space is $B^k_0(\mathcal{G},V) = B^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V))_0$ (resp. $B^k_1(\mathcal{G},V) = B^k(\mathcal{G},V)\cap(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{G},V))_1$.
- The k^{th} cohomology space is the quotient $H^k(\mathcal{G},V) = Z^k(\mathcal{G},V)/B^k(\mathcal{G},V)$. It decomposes as well as even and odd k^{th} cohomology spaces.

Finally, we denote by $H^k(\mathcal{G},V) = H^k_0(\mathcal{G},V)\oplus H^k_1(\mathcal{G},V)$ the set k^{th} cohomology space and by $\oplus_{k\geq 0}H^k(\mathcal{G},V)$ the cohomology group of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ with values in V.

In the general case, let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra. We have a 1-coboundary (resp. 2-coboundary operator) defined on $\mathcal{G}$-valued cochains $C^k(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ such as for $x,y,z\in\mathcal{G}$

$$\delta^1(f)(x,y) = -f([x,y]) + (-1)^{|x||f|}[x,f(y)] - (-1)^{|y|(|f|+|x|}[y,f(x)], \tag{1.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2(f)(x,y,z) \;=\;& -f([x,y],\alpha(z)) + (-1)^{|z||y|}f([x,z],\alpha(y)) + f(\alpha(x),[y,z])\\
&+(-1)^{|x||f|}[\alpha(x),f(y,z)] - (-1)^{|y|(|f|+|x|)}[\alpha(y),f(x,z)]\\
&+(-1)^{|z|(|f|+|x|+|y|)}[\alpha(z),f(x,y)].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\delta^2\circ\delta^2 = 0$. We denote by $H^1(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ (resp. $H^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$) the corresponding 1^{st} and 2^{nd} cohomology groups.

1.2 Deformations of Hom-Lie superalgebras.

In this section we extend to Hom-Lie superalgebras the one-parameter formal deformation theory introduced by Gerstenhaber [16] for associative algebras. It was extended to Hom-Lie algebras in [28, 2].

Definition 1.5. Let $(\mathcal{G}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra. A one -parameter formal deformation of $\mathcal{G}$ is given by the $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$-bilinear map $[.,.]_t : \mathcal{G}[[t]] \times \mathcal{G}[[t]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}[[t]]$ of the form $[.,.]_t = \sum_{i\geq 0} t^i [.,.]_i$, where each $[.,.]_i$ is an even bilinear map $[.,.]_i : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (extended to be $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$-bilinear) and $[.,.] = [.,.]_0$ satisfying the following conditions

$$[x, y]_t = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]_t, \tag{1.8}$$

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y, z]_t]_t + (-1)^{|z||y|}[\alpha(z), [x, y]_t]_t + (-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha(y), [z, x]_t]_t = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

The deformation is said of *order* k if $[.,.]_t = \sum_{i=0}^{k} t^i [.,.]_i$.

Given two deformations $\mathcal{G}_t = (\mathcal{G}, [.,.]_t, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{G}'_t = \mathcal{G}, [.,.]'_t, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{G}$ where $[.,.]_t = \sum_{i\geq 0} t^i [.,.]_i$ and $[.,.]'_t = \sum_{i\geq 0} t^i [.,.]'_i$ with $[.,.]_0 = [.,.]'_0 = [.,.]$. We say that $\mathcal{G}_t$ and $\mathcal{G}'_t$ are *equivalent* if there exists a formal automorphism $\phi_t = \sum_{i\geq 0} \phi_i t^i$ where $\phi_i \in End(\mathcal{G})$ and $\phi_0 = id_{\mathcal{G}}$, such that

$$\phi_t([x, y]_t) = [\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)]'_t.$$

A deformation $\mathcal{G}_t$ is said to be *trivial* if and only if $\mathcal{G}_t$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}$ (viewed as a superalgebra on $\mathcal{G}[[t]]$.)

The identity (1.9) is called deformation equation and it is equivalent to

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \sum_{i\geq 0, j\geq 0} (-1)^{|x||z|} t^{i+j} [\alpha(x), [y, z]_i]_j = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \sum_{i\geq 0, s\geq 0} (-1)^{|x||z|} t^{s} [\alpha(x), [y, z]_i]_{s-i} = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{s\geq 0} t^s \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^{|x||z|} t^{s} [\alpha(x), [y, z]_i]_{s-i} = 0.$$

The deformation equation is equivalent to the following infinite system

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \sum_{i=0}^{s} (-1)^{|x||z|} [\alpha(x), [y, z]_i]_{s-i} = 0, \text{ for } s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{1.10}$$

In particular, For $s = 0$ we have $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y, z]_0]_0 = 0$ which is the super Hom-Jacobi identity of $\mathcal{G}$.

The equation for $s=1$, is equivalent to $\delta^2([.,.]_1)=0$. Then $[.,.]_1$ is a 2-cocycle ($[.,.]_1\in Z^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$. We deal here with $\mathcal{G}$-valued cohomology. For $s\geq 2$, the identities (1.10) are equivalent to:

$$\delta^2([.,.]_s)(x,y,z)=-\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}\sum_{i=1}^{s-1}(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x),[y,z]_i]_{s-i}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $[.,.]_1$ be an element of $Z^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$. The 2-cocycle $[.,.]_1$ is said to be integrable if there exists a family $([.,.]_p)_{p\geq 0}$ such that $[.,.]_t=\sum_{i\geq 0}t^i[.,.]_i$ defines a formal deformation $\mathcal{G}_t=(\mathcal{G},[.,.]_t,\alpha)$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

One may also prove:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $\mathcal{G}_t=(\mathcal{G},[.,.]_t,\alpha)$ be a one-parameter formal deformation of $\mathcal{G}$, where $[.,.]_t=\sum_{i\geq 0}t^i[.,.]_i$. Then there exists an equivalent deformation $(\mathcal{G}'_t=\mathcal{G},[.,.]'_t,\alpha)$, where $[.,.]'_t=\sum_{i\geq 0}t^i[.,.]'_i$ such that $[.,.]'_1\in Z^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$ and doesn't belong to $B^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})$.*

Hence, if $H^2(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G})=0$ then every formal deformation is equivalent to a trivial deformation. The Hom-Lie superalgebra is called rigid.

2 The q-Witt superalgebras

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_0\oplus\mathcal{A}_1$ be an associative superalgebra. We assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is super-commutative, that is for homogeneous elements a,b, the identity $ab=(-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ holds.

Definition 2.1. A σ-derivation D_i ($i\in\mathbb{Z}_2$) on $\mathcal{A}$ is an endomorphism satisfying:

$$D_i(ab)=D_i(a)b+(-1)^{i|a|}\sigma(a)D_i(b),$$

where $a,b\in\mathcal{A}$ are homogeneous element and $|a|$ is the parity of a.
A σ-derivation D_0 is said to be an even σ-derivation and D_1 is an odd σ-derivation. The set of all σ-derivations is denoted by $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})=Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_0\oplus Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_1$, where $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_0$ (resp $Der_\sigma(\mathcal{A})_1$) is the space of even (resp. odd) σ-derivations.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_0\oplus\mathcal{A}_1$ be a super-commutative associative superalgebra, such $\mathcal{A}_0=\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ and $\mathcal{A}_1=\theta\mathcal{A}_0$ where θ is the Grassman variable ($\theta^2=0$). We set $\{n\}=\frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$, a q-number, where $q\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let σ be the algebra endomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\sigma(t^n)=q^nt^n \;\; and \;\; \sigma(\theta)=q\theta.$$

Let ∂_t and ∂_θ be two linear maps on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\partial_t(t^n)=\{n\}t^n,\;\partial_t(\theta t^n)=\{n\}\theta t^n,$$

$$\partial_\theta(t^n)=0,\;\;\partial_\theta(\theta t^n)=q^nt^n.$$

Lemma 2.2. *[3] The linear map $\Delta = \partial_t + \theta\partial_\theta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is an even σ-derivation. Hence, $\Delta(t^n) = \{n\}t^n$ and $\Delta(\theta t^n) = \{n+1\}\theta t^n$.*

Let $\mathcal{W}^q = \mathcal{A} \cdot \Delta$ be a superspace generated by elements $L_n = t^n \cdot \Delta$ of parity 0 and elements $G_n = \theta t^n \cdot \Delta$ of parity 1.
Let $[-,-]$ be a bracket on the superspace $\mathcal{W}^q$ defined by

$$[L_n, L_m] = (\{m\} - \{n\})L_{n+m}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$[L_n, G_m] = (\{m+1\} - \{n\})G_{n+m}. \tag{2.2}$$

The others brackets are obtained by supersymmetry or equals 0.
It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}^q$ is a $\mathbb{Z}-$graded algebra $\mathcal{W}^q = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{W}^q_n$, where $\mathcal{W}^q_n = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_n, G_n\}$. The elements L_n and G_n are said of degree n.

Let α be an even linear map on $\mathcal{W}^q$ defined on the generators by

$$\alpha(L_n) = (1 + q^n)L_n, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\alpha(G_n) = (1 + q^{n+1})G_n. \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 2.3. *[3] The triple $(\mathcal{W}^q, [-,-], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.*

In the sequel we refer to this Hom-Lie superalgebras as $\mathcal{W}^q$. We call it q-deformed Witt superalgebra.

3 Second cohomology $H^2(\mathcal{W}^q, \mathcal{W}^q)$

In this section, we aim to compute the second cohomology group of $\mathcal{W}^q$ with values in itself. For all q-deformed 1-cocycle (resp 2-cocycle) on $\mathcal{W}^q$ we have with respect to (1.6),(1.7), respectively

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta^1(f)(x_0, x_1) \\ &= -f([x_0, x_1]) + (-1)^{|x_0||f|}[x_0, f(x_1)] - (-1)^{|x_1|(|f|+|x_0|}[x_1, f(x_0)], \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta^2(f)(x_0, x_1, x_2) \\ &= -f([x_0, x_1], \alpha(x_2)) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}f([x_0, x_2], \alpha(x_1)) + f(\alpha(x_0), [x_1, x_2]) \\ &\quad +(-1)^{|x_0||f|}[\alpha(x_0), f(x_1, x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_1|(|f|+|x_0|)}[\alpha(x_1), f(x_0, x_2)] \\ &\quad +(-1)^{|x_2|(|f|+|x_0|+|x_1|)}[\alpha(x_2), f(x_0, x_1)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Taking the pair (x, y) to be respectively (L_n, L_p) and (L_n, G_p) in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \delta^1(f)(L_n, L_p) = -f([L_n, L_p]) + [L_n, f(L_p)] - [L_p, f(L_n] = 0. \\ \delta^1(f)(L_n, G_p) = -f([L_n, G_p]) + [L_n, f(G_p)] - (-1)^{|f|}[G_p, f(L_n)] = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Taking the triple (x,y,z) to be $(L_n,L_m,L_p),\ (L_n,L_m,G_p),\ and\ (L_n,G_m,G_p)$ in (3.2), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -f([L_n,L_m],\alpha(L_p))+f([L_n,L_p],\alpha(L_m))+f(\alpha(L_n),[L_m,L_p]) \\ &\quad +[\alpha(L_n),f(L_m,L_p)]-[\alpha(L_m),f(L_n,L_p)]+[\alpha(L_p),f(L_n,L_m)]. \qquad (3.4) \\ 0 &= -f([L_n,L_m],\alpha(G_p))+f([L_n,G_p],\alpha(L_m))+f(\alpha(L_n),[L_m,G_p]) \\ &\quad +[\alpha(L_n),f(L_m,G_p)]-[\alpha(L_m),f(L_n,G_p)]+(-1)^{|f|}[\alpha(G_p),f(L_n,L_m)]. \qquad (3.5) \\ 0 &= -f([L_n,G_m],\alpha(G_p))-f([L_n,G_p],\alpha(G_m))+f(\alpha(L_n),[G_m,G_p]) \\ &\quad +[\alpha(L_n),f(G_m,G_p)]-(-1)^{|f|}[\alpha(G_m),f(L_n,G_p)]-(-1)^{|f|}[\alpha(G_p),f(L_n,G_m)]. \qquad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Our main theorem is

Theorem 3.1. *The second cohomology group of q-deformed Witt superalgebras W^q with values in the adjoint module vanishes, i.e.*

$$H^2(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)=\{0\}.$$

Hence, every formal deformation is equivalent to a trivial deformation.

In the sequel we proceed to prove this result by computing the second even and odd cohomology groups. We have

$$H^2(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)=H^2_0(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)\oplus H^2_1(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)$$

where $H^2_0(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)$ (resp. $H^2_1(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)$) is the even (resp. odd) subspace.

3.1 Second even cohomology $H^2_0(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)$

We denote by $H^2_{0,s}(\mathcal{W}^q,\mathcal{W}^q)$ the even subspace of degree s. That is given by even 2-cochains of degree s, i.e. for all homogeneous elements $x_1,x_2\in\mathcal{W}^q$ of degree respectively m,n, $f(x_1,x_2)$ is of degree $m+n+s$.

Assume now that f is an even 2-cocycle of degree s. We set

$$f(L_n,L_p)=a_{s,n,p}L_{s+n+p},\ \ f(L_n,G_p)=b_{s,n,p}G_{s+n+p}\ \text{and} f(G_n,G_p)=c_{s,n,p}L_{s+n+p}.$$

When there is no ambiguity with the degree s, the coefficients $a_{s,n,p},\ b_{s,n,p},\ c_{s,n,p}$ are denoted by $a_{n,p},\ b_{n,p},\ c_{n,p}$.

By (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(1+q^p)(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{n+m,p}+(1+q^m)(\{p\}-\{n\})a_{n+p,m} \\ &\quad +(1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{m\})a_{n,m+p}+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+s\}-\{n\})a_{m,p} \qquad (3.7) \\ &\quad -(1+q^m)(\{p+n+s\}-\{m\})a_{n,p}+(1+q^p)(\{n+m+s\}-\{p\})a_{n,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(1+q^{p+1})(\{m\}-\{n\})b_{n+m,p}-(1+q^m)(\{p+1\}-\{n\})b_{m,n+p} \\ &\quad +(1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{m\})b_{n,m+p}+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+1+s\}-\{n\})b_{m,p} \qquad (3.8) \\ &\quad -(1+q^m)(\{p+n+1+s\}-\{m\})b_{n,p}-(1+q^{p+1})(\{p+1\}-\{n+m+s\})a_{n,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[G_n, G_m] = 0$, by (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(1+q^{p+1})(\{m+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+m,p} - (1+q^{m+1})(\{p+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+p,m} \\ &\quad +(1+q^n)(\{m+p+s\}-\{n\})c_{m,p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.2. *If $s \neq 0,\ 2$, the subspaces $H^2_{0,s}(\mathcal{W}^q, \mathcal{W}^q)$ vanishe.*

Proof. We define an endomorphism g of $\mathcal{W}^q$ by

$$g(L_p) = \frac{1}{q^p\{s\}} f(L_0, L_p) \text{ and } g(G_p) = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}\{s\}} f(L_0, G_p).$$

By (3.3) and (2.1) we have

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = -\{p\}g(L_p) + \{p+s\}g(L_p).$$

So

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = q^p\{s\}g(L_p).$$

We define a 2-cocycle h by

$$h = f - \delta^1(g).$$

Therefore

$$h(L_0, L_p) = f(L_0, L_p) - \delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = q^p\{s\}g(L_p) - q^p\{s\}g(L_p) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Taking $m = 0$ in (3.4), with (2.1) and (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (1+q^{p+1})\{n\}f(L_n, L_p) + 2(\{p\}-\{n\})f(L_{n+p}, L_0) + (1+q^n)\{p\}f(L_n, L_p) \\ &\quad +(1+q^n)[L_n, f(L_0, L_p)] - 2[L_0, f(L_n, L_p)] + (1+q^p)[L_p, f(L_n, L_0)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since h is a 2-cocycle, we can replace f by h in (3.11), and using (3.10) we obtain

$$0 = (1+q^p)\{n\}h(L_n, L_p) + (1+q^n)\{p\}h(L_n, L_p) - 2\{s+n+p\}h(L_n, L_p).$$

From this, using the fact that s is not vanishing, we obtain

$$h(L_n, L_p) = 0 \ \forall\ n, p \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $g(G_p) = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}\{s\}} f(L_0, G_p)$, by (3.3) and (2.2) we have

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0, G_p) = -\{p+1\}g(G_p) + \{p+s+1\}g(G_p) = q^{p+1}\{s\}g(G_p).$$

Then

$$h(L_0, G_p) = f(L_0, G_p) - \delta^1(g)(L_0, G_p) = 0.$$

Taking $m = 0$ in (3.5), by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+q^p)\{n\}f(L_n, G_p) + 2(\{p+1\}-\{n\})f(G_{p+n}, L_0) + (1+q^n)\{p+1\}f(L_n, G_p) \\ &+(1+q^n)[L_n, f(L_0, G_p)] - 2[L_0, f(L_n, G_p)] + (-1)^{|f|}(1+q^{p+1})[G_p, f(L_n, L_0)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since h is a 2-cocycle and $h(L_0, G_p) = h(L_0, L_n) = 0$ we can deduce

$$(1 + q^{p+1})\{n\}h(L_n, G_p) + (1 + q^n)\{p + 1\}h(L_n, G_p) - 2\{p + n + s + 1\}h(L_n, G_p) = 0,$$

which implies, under the condition $s \neq 0$, that

$$h(L_n, G_p) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Taking $n = 0$ in (3.6), since $[G_m, G_p] = h(L_n, G_p) = h(L_n, L_p) = 0$ and h is a 2-cocycle we have

$$-h([L_0, G_m], \alpha(G_p)) - h([L_0, G_p], \alpha(G_m)) + [\alpha(L_0), h(G_m, G_p)] = 0.$$

Then

$$-(1 + q^{p+1})\{m + 1\}h(G_m, G_p) - (1 + q^{m+1})\{p + 1\}h(G_p, G_m) + 2\{m + p + s\}h(G_m, G_p) = 0,$$

which implies, under the condition $s \neq 2$, that $h(G_m, G_p) = 0$.
It follows that $h \equiv 0$. Hence f is a coboundary. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be an even 2-cocycle of degree zero ($s = 0$) and g be an even endomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^q$. If $h = f - \delta^1(g)$ then*

$$h(L_{-1}, L_2) = 0,\ h(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0,\ h(L_n, L_1) = 0 \text{ and } h(L_1, G_m) = 0\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}^*.$$

Proof. Let f be an even 2-cocycle. Then $f(L_n, L_m) = f_{n,m}L_{n+m}$ and $f(L_n, G_m) = f'_{n,m}G_{n+m}$.

Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_0 = f_{0,1},\\
&a_n = a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{\{1\} - \{n\}} f_{n,1} \quad \forall n < 0,\\
&a_1 = 0,\\
&a_2 = \frac{1}{\{2\} - \{-1\}} f_{-1,2} - a_{-1},\\
&a_{n+1} = a_n + \frac{1}{\{n\} - \{1\}} f_{n,1} \quad \forall n \geq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_0 = 0,\\
&b_m = b_{m+1} - \frac{1}{\{1\} - \{m + 1\}} f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m < 0,\\
&b_1 = -a_{-1} - \frac{1}{\{-1\} - \{2\}} f'_{-1,1},\\
&b_{m+1} = b_m + \frac{1}{\{1\} - \{m + 1\}} f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let g be an even endomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^q$ given by $g(L_n) = a_nL_n$ and $g(G_n) = b_nG_n$.
By (3.3) and (3.3) we have recursively

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n, L_m) \;=\; (\{n\} - \{m\})(a_{n+m} - a_m - a_n)L_{n+m},$$

and

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n,G_m) \quad = \quad (\{n\}-\{m+1\})(b_{n+m}-b_m-a_n)G_{n+m}.$$

If $h=f-\delta^1(g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{n,1} &= f_{n,1}-(\{n\}-\{1\})(a_{n+1}-a_n)=0\ \forall n\in\mathbb{Z},\\
h_{-1,2} &= f_{-1,2}-(\{-1\}-\{2\})(a_1-a_2-a_{-1})=0,\\
h^{'}_{1,m} &= f^{'}_{1,m}-(\{1\}-\{m+1\})(b_{m+1}-b_m)=0\ \forall m\in\mathbb{Z}^*,\\
h^{'}_{-1,1} &= f^{'}_{-1,1}+(\{-1\}-\{2\})(b_1+a_{-1})=0.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let f be an even 2-cocycle of degree zero such that $f(L_n,L_1)=0$ and $f(L_{-1},L_2)=0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_0^q\times\mathcal{W}_0^q$.*

Proof. Since f is an even 2-cocycle of degree zero, by (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(1+q^p)(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{n+m,p}+(1+q^m)(\{p\}-\{n\})a_{n+p,m}+(1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{m\})a_{n,m+p}\\
&+(1+q^n)(\{m+p\}-\{n\})a_{m,p}-(1+q^m)(\{n+p\}-\{m\})a_{n,p}+(1+q^p)(\{n+m\}-\{p\})a_{n,m}\\
&=0. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $m=-1$ in (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(1+q^p)(\{-1\}-\{n\})a_{n-1,p}+(1+q^{-1})(\{p\}-\{n\})a_{n+p,-1}+(1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{-1\})a_{n,-1+p}\\
&+(1+q^n)(\{-1+p\}-\{n\})a_{-1,p}-(1+q^{-1})(\{n+p\}-\{-1\})a_{n,p}+(1+q^p)(\{n-1\}-\{p\})a_{n,-1}\\
&=0. \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $m=1$ in (3.14), since $a_{1,k}=-a_{k,1}=0\ \forall k\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$-(1+q^p)(\{1\}-\{n\})a_{n+1,p}+(1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{1\})a_{n,1+p}-(1+q)(\{n+p\}-\{1\})a_{n,p}=0. \qquad (3.16)$$

We investigate the following cases:

Case 1: $k=0$

In (3.16) we consider $p=0$ this gives

$$-2(\{1\}-\{n\})a_{n+1,0}-(1+q)(\{n\}-\{1\})a_{n,0}=0. \qquad (3.17)$$

That is,

$$a_{n,0}=\frac{2}{1+q}a_{n+1,0},\quad a_{n+1,0}=\frac{1+q}{2}a_{n,0},\ \forall n\neq 1.$$

Starting from $a_{1,0}=-a_{0,1}=0$ this implies for $n\leq 0$ that $a_{n,0}=0$ and for $n\geq 3$ that $a_{n,0}=\left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right)^{n-2}a_{2,0}$.

Next we consider (3.15) for $n=0,\ p=2$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(1+q^2)\{-1\}a_{-1,2}+(1+q^{-1})\{2\}a_{2,-1}+2(\{2\}-\{-1\})a_{0,1}\\
&+2\{1\}a_{-1,2}-(1+q^{-1})(\{2\}-\{-1\})a_{0,2}+(1+q^2)(\{-1\}-\{2\})a_{0,-1}\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

The term $a_{-1,2}$ cancels and we know already that $a_{0,1} = a_{0,-1} = 0$. Hence $a_{0,2} = 0$.
This implies

$$a_{n,0} = 0 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Case 2: $k = -1$
In (3.16) we set $p = -1$ and obtain (with $a_{n,0} = 0$)

$$-(1+q^{-1})(\{1\} - \{n\})a_{n+1,-1} - (1+q)(\{n-1\} - \{1\})a_{n,-1} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$a_{n,-1} = -\frac{(1+q^{-1})(\{1\} - \{n\})}{(1+q)(\{n-1\} - \{1\})}a_{n+1,-1} \ \forall n \neq 2, \ \ a_{n+1,-1} = -\frac{(1+q)(\{n-1\} - \{1\})}{(1+q^{-1})(\{1\} - \{n\})}a_{n,-1} \ \forall n \neq 1.$$

The first formula, starting from $a_{1,-1} = -a_{-1,1} = 0$, implies that $a_{n,-1} = 0$, for all $n \leq 1$.
The second formula, for $n = 2$, implies $a_{3,-1} = 0$ and hence $a_{n,-1} = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. But by assumption $a_{2,-1} = -a_{-1,2} = 0$. Hence,

$$a_{n,-1} = 0 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Case 3: $k = -2$
We plug the value $p = -2$ into (3.16) and get for terms not yet identified as zero

$$-(1+q^{-2})(\{1\} - \{n\})a_{n+1,-2} - (1+q)(\{n-2\} - \{1\})a_{n,-2} = 0.$$

This yields

$$a_{n+1,-2} = \frac{(1+q)(\{1\} - \{n-2\})}{(1+q^{-2})(\{1\} - \{n\})}a_{n,-2} \ \forall n \neq 1, \ \ \ a_{n,-2} = \frac{(1+q^{-2})(\{n\} - \{1\})}{(1+q)(\{n-2\} - \{1\})}a_{n+1,-2} \ \forall n \neq 3.$$

From the first formula we get $a_{3,-2} = \frac{1+q}{(1+q^{-2})(\{1\}-\{2\})}a_{2,-2}, \ a_{4,-2} = 0$ and hence $a_{n,-2} = 0$ for all $n \geq 4$.
From the second formula we get starting from $a_{1,-2} = 0$ that $a_{n,-2} = 0$ for all $n \leq 1$.
Finally, $a_{n,-2} = 0$ for $n \neq 2, \ 3$. The value $a_{3,-2} = \frac{1+q}{(1+q^{-2})(\{1\}-\{2\})}a_{2,-2}$ stays undetermined for the moment.
Case 4: $k = 2$
We start from (3.15) for $p = 2$ and recall that terms of levels $0, \ 1, \ -1$ are zero. This gives

$$-(1+q^{2})(\{-1\} - \{n\})a_{n-1,2} - (1+q^{-1})(\{n+2\} - \{-1\})a_{n,2} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$a_{n,2} = \frac{(1+q^{2})(\{n\} - \{-1\})}{(1+q^{-1})(\{n+2\} - \{-1\})}a_{n-1,2} \ \forall n \neq -3, \ \ a_{n-1,2} = \frac{(1+q^{-1})(\{n+2\} - \{-1\})}{(1+q^{2})(\{n\} - \{-1\})}a_{n,2} \ \forall n \neq -1.$$

In the first formula we start from $a_{-1,2} = 0$ and get $a_{n,2} = 0, \ \forall n \geq -1$.
From the second, we get $a_{-3,2} = -\frac{(1+q^{-1})\{-1\}}{(1+q^{2})(\{-2\}-\{-1\})}a_{-2,2}$, then $a_{-4,2} = 0$ and then altogether $a_{n,2} = 0$ for all $n \neq \ -2, \ -3$.
The value $a_{-3,2} = -\frac{(1+q^{-1})\{-1\}}{(1+q^{2})(\{-2\}-\{-1\})}a_{-2,2}$ stays undetermined for the moment. To find it we consider the index triple $(2,-2,4)$ in (3.14) and obtain after leaving out terms which are obviously zero $-(1+q^{4})\{4\}a_{2,-2} = 0$.

This shows that $a_{3,-2} = a_{-3,2} = a_{2,-2} = 0$ and we can conclude $a_{n,-2} = a_{n,2} = 0 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 5: $k < -2$

We make induction assuming it true for $k = 2, \ 1, 0 \ , -1, \ -2$. We start from (3.14) for p and put $p = -1$. We get

$$(1+q^m)(\{-1\}-\{n\})a_{n-1,m}+(1+q^n)(\{-1\}-\{m\})a_{n,m-1}+(1+q^{-1})(\{n+m\}-\{-1\})a_{n,m}=0. \tag{3.18}$$

Then

$$(1+q^n)(\{-1\}-\{m\})a_{n,m-1}=(1+q^{-1})(\{-1\}-\{n+m\})a_{n,m}-(1+q^m)(\{-1\}-\{n\})a_{n-1,m}.$$

We deduce that $a_{n,m} = 0, \forall \ m < -2$.

Case 6: $k > 2$

We make induction assuming it true for $n = 2$. By (3.16) we have

$$(1+q^p)(\{1\}-\{n\})a_{n+1,p} \quad = \quad (1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{1\})a_{n,1+p}-(1+q)(\{n+p\}-\{1\})a_{n,p}.$$

We deduce that $a_{n,m} = 0, \forall \ n > 2$.

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let f be an even 2-cocycle of degree zero such that $f(L_n, L_1) = 0$, $f(L_{-1}, L_2) = 0$, $f(L_1, G_m) = 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $f(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_0^q \times \mathcal{W}_1^q$.*

Proof. Since f is an even 2-cocycle of degree zero and $f(L_n, L_m) = 0$, by (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m\}-\{n\})b_{n+m,p}-(1+q^m)(\{p+1\}-\{n\})b_{m,n+p}\\&+(1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{m\})b_{n,m+p}+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+1\}-\{n\})b_{m,p}\\&-(1+q^m)(\{p+n+1\}-\{m\})b_{n,p}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

In (3.19) we set $m = 1$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^{p+1})(\{1\}-\{n\})b_{n+1,p}-(1+q)(\{p+1\}-\{n\})b_{1,n+p}+(1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{1\})b_{n,1+p}\\&+(1+q^n)(\{p+2\}-\{n\})b_{1,p}-(1+q)(\{n+p+1\}-\{1\})b_{n,p}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Then (for $p \neq 0, \ n + p \neq 0$)

$$-(1+q^{p+1})(\{1\}-\{n\})b_{n+1,p}+(1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{1\})b_{n,1+p}-(1+q)(\{n+p+1\}-\{1\})b_{n,p}=0. \tag{3.21}$$

We consider in the following the different cases:

Case 1: $k = 0$

In (3.21), we set $n = 0$ and obtain (for $p \neq 0$)

$$2(\{p+1\}-\{1\})b_{0,1+p}-(1+q)(\{p+1\}-\{1\})b_{0,p}=0. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence

$$b_{0,1+p}=\frac{1+q}{2}b_{0,p}, \ \ for \ p\neq 0,$$

which gives

$$b_{0,p} = (\frac{1+q}{2})^{p-1} b_{0,1}, \; for \; p > 0, \; . \tag{3.23}$$

Letting $m = 0, \; p = 1$ in (3.19), we get

$$(1+q^2)\{n\}b_{n,1} - 2(\{2\} - \{n\})b_{0,n+1} + (1+q^n)\{2\}b_{n,1} + (1+q^n)(\{2\} - \{n\})b_{0,1} - 2\{n+2\}b_{n,1} = 0.$$

Hence

$$-2(\{2\} - \{n\})b_{0,n+1} + (1+q^n)(\{2\} - \{n\})b_{0,1} = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Setting $n = 3$ in (3.24), we obtain $b_{0,4} = \frac{1+q^3}{2} b_{0,1}$. Furthermore, taking $p = 4$ in (3.23), one has $b_{0,4} = (\frac{1+q}{2})^3 b_{0,1}$. Thus $b_{0,1} = 0$. Using this in (3.24), we obtain $b_{0,n} = 0$ for all $n \neq 3$.
Since $b_{0,1} = 0$ and setting $p = 3$ in (3.23) leads to $b_{0,3} = 0$.
Hence

$$b_{0,n} = 0 \; \forall n \in \; \mathbb{Z}.$$

Case 2: $k = -1$
In (3.21), we set $n = -1$ and obtain (for $p \neq 0, 1$)

$$(1+q^{-1})(\{p+1\} - \{1\})b_{-1,1+p} - (1+q)(\{p\} - \{1\})b_{-1,p} \;\; = \;\; 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Hence

$$b_{-1,p} = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\{p+1\} - \{1\}}{\{p\} - \{1\}} b_{-1,1+p}, \; b_{-1,1+p} = q \frac{\{p\} - \{1\}}{\{p+1\} - \{1\}} b_{-1,p} \text{ for } p \neq 0, \; 1.$$

The first formula implies $b_{-1,p} = q^p \frac{\{-1\}}{\{p-1\}} b_{-1,0}$ for $p \leq 0$.
The second formula implies $b_{-1,p} = q^{p-2} \frac{1}{\{p-1\}} b_{-1,2}$ for $p \geq 2$.
By assumption $b_{-1,1} = 0$.

Taking $n = -1, \; p = 0$ in (3.20), we obtain

$$(1+q^{-1})(\{2\} - \{-1\})b_{1,0} + (1+q)\{1\}b_{-1,0} = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Then $b_{-1,0} = -q^{-2}\{3\}b_{1,0}$. We deduce that

$$b_{-1,p} = q^{p-3} \frac{\{3\}}{\{p-1\}} b_{1,0}, \quad \text{for } p \leq 0.$$

Taking $n = -1, \; p = 1$ in (3.20), we obtain

$$-(1+q)(\{2\} - \{-1\})b_{1,0} + (1+q^{-1})(\{2\} - \{1\})b_{-1,2} = 0.$$

Then $b_{-1,2} = q^{-1}\{3\}b_{1,0}$. We deduce that

$$b_{-1,p} = q^{p-3} \frac{\{3\}}{\{p-1\}} b_{1,0}, \quad \text{for } p \geq 2.$$

Case 3: $k = -2$
Taking $n = -2, \; p = 2$ in (3.20)

$$-(1+q^3)(\{1\} - \{-2\})b_{-1,2} - (1+q)(\{3\} - \{-2\})b_{1,0} + (1+q^{-2})(\{3\} - \{1\})b_{-2,3} = 0.$$

Then

$$b_{-2,3}=\frac{1+q^3}{1+q^{-2}}\frac{(\{1\}-\{-2\})}{(\{3\}-\{1\})}q^{-1}\{3\}b_{1,0}+\frac{1+q}{1+q^{-2}}\frac{\{3\}-\{-2\}}{\{3\}-\{1\}}b_{1,0}.$$

Taking $n=-2,\ p=1$ in (3.20), we obtain

$$(1+q^{-2})(\{2\}-\{1\})b_{-2,2}+(1+q)\{1\}b_{-2,1}=0.$$

Then

$$b_{-2,2}=\frac{1+q}{1+q^{-2}}\frac{1-q}{q^2-q}b_{-2,1}=-q\frac{1+q}{1+q^2}b_{-2,1}. \tag{3.27}$$

Taking $n=-2,\ p=0$ in (3.20) leads to

$$-(1+q)(\{1\}-\{-2\})b_{-1,0}+(1+q^{-2})(\{2\}-\{-2\})b_{1,0}-(1+q)(\{-1\}-\{1\})b_{-2,0}=0.$$

Then

$$b_{-2,0}=-q^{-3}\frac{\{3\}^2}{\{2\}}b_{1,0}-q^{-3}\frac{1+q^2}{1+q}\frac{\{4\}}{\{2\}}b_{1,0}.$$

We plug the value $n=-2$ into (3.21) and get for the terms not yet identified as zero

$$-(1+q^{p+1})(\{1\}-\{-2\})b_{-1,p}+(1+q^{-2})(\{p+1\}-\{1\})b_{-2,1+p}-(1+q)(\{p-1\}-\{1\})b_{-2,p}=0 \text{ for } p\neq 0,\ 2.$$

This yields

$$b_{-2,1+p}=\frac{1+q^{p+1}}{1+q^{-2}}\frac{\{1\}-\{-2\}}{\{p+1\}-\{1\}}q^{p-3}\frac{\{3\}}{\{p-1\}}b_{1,0}+\frac{1+q}{1+q^{-2}}\frac{\{p-1\}-\{1\}}{\{p+1\}-\{1\}}b_{-2,p},$$

$$b_{-2,p}=-\frac{1+q^{p+1}}{1+q}\frac{\{1\}-\{-2\}}{\{p-1\}-\{1\}}q^{p-3}\frac{\{3\}}{\{p-1\}}b_{1,0}+\frac{1+q^{-2}}{1+q}\frac{\{p+1\}-\{1\}}{\{p-1\}-\{1\}}b_{-2,1+p} \text{ for } p\neq 0,\ 1,\ 2.$$

By direct calculation, we obtain

$$b_{-2,p}=\frac{\{3\}^2}{\{p-2\}}q^{p-5}b_{1,0}-(\frac{1+q^2}{1+q})^{2-p}\frac{\{2\}^2}{\{p-2\}\{p-1\}}q^{2p-6}b_{1,0} \text{ for } p\neq 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3.$$

Case 4: $k=2$

Taking $n=2,\ m=-1,\ p=-2$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$b_{2,-2}=q^{-2}\frac{\{3\}^2}{\{2\}}b_{1,0}+q^{-2}\frac{1+q^2\{3\}}{1+q\{2\}}b_{1,0}.$$

Now, taking $n=2,\ m=-2,\ p=-2$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{gathered}-(1+q^{-2})(\{-1\}-\{2\})b_{-2,0}+(1+q^2)(\{-1\}-\{-2\})b_{2,-4}\\ +(1+q^2)(\{-3\}-\{2\})b_{-2,-2}-(1+q^{-2})(\{1\}-\{-2\})b_{2,-2}=0.\end{gathered}$$

Hence

$$b_{2,-4} \;=\; q^{-2}q\frac{q^3-1}{1-q}b_{-2,0}-q^{-1}\frac{q^5-1}{1-q}b_{-2,-2}+q^{-2}\frac{1-q^3}{1-q}b_{2,-2}.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{-2,0} &= \frac{q^{-2}-q}{q-q^{-1}}q^{-2}\{3\}b_{1,0}+\frac{1+q^{-2}}{1+q}\frac{q^{-2}-q^{2}}{q-q^{-1}}b_{1,0}, \\ &= q^{-3}\frac{1-q^{3}}{q^{2}-1}\{3\}b_{1,0}+q^{-3}\frac{1+q^{2}}{1+q}\frac{1-q^{4}}{q^{2}-1}b_{1,0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2,-2} &= q^{-2}\frac{q^{3}-1}{1-q^{2}}\frac{1-q^{3}}{1-q}b_{1,0}+q\frac{1+q^{2}}{1+q}q^{-1}\frac{q^{4}-1}{1-q^{2}}q^{-5}q^{3}\frac{1-q^{3}}{q^{3}-1}b_{1,0} \\ &= -q^{-2}\frac{(1-q^{3})^{2}}{(1-q^{2})(1-q)}b_{1,0}+q^{-2}\frac{1+q^{2}}{1+q}\frac{1-q^{4}}{1-q^{2}}b_{1,0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$b_{-2,-2}=\frac{\{3\}^{2}}{\{-4\}}q^{-7}b_{1,0}-(\frac{1+q^{2}}{1+q})^{4}\frac{(1+q)^{2}}{\{-4\}\{-3\}}q^{-10}b_{1,0}.$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2,-4} &= 2q^{-4}\frac{(1-q^{3})(1-q^{4})^{2}}{(1-q^{2})^{3}}b_{1,0} \\ &\quad -q^{-4}\frac{(1-q^{5})(1-q^{3})^{2}}{(1-q)^{2}(1-q^{4})}b_{1,0}-q^{-4}\frac{(1-q^{5})(1-q^{4})^{2}}{(1-q)(1+q)^{4}(1-q^{3})(1-q^{2})}b_{1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n=2,\ m=-1,\ p=-3$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+q^{-1})(\{-2\}-\{2\})b_{-1,-1}+(1+q^{2})(\{-2\}-\{-1\})b_{2,-4} \\ &+(1+q^{2})(\{-3\}-\{2\})b_{-1,-3}+(1+q^{-1})\{-1\}b_{2,-3}=0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$b_{2,-3}=q^{-3}\frac{q^{4}-1}{q-1}\frac{1-q^{3}}{q^{2}-1}b_{1,0}-\frac{1+q^{2}}{1+q}b_{2,-4}-q^{-3}\frac{1+q^{2}}{q+1}\frac{q^{5}-1}{q-1}\frac{1-q^{3}}{q^{4}-1}b_{1,0}.$$

Then

$$b_{2,-3}=q^{-3}\frac{\{4\}\{3\}}{\{2\}}b_{1,0}-2q^{-4}\frac{\{3\}\{4\}^{3}}{\{2\}^{5}}b_{1,0}+q^{-4}\frac{\{5\}\{3\}}{\{2\}^{2}}b_{1,0}+q^{-4}\frac{\{5\}\{4\}^{3}}{\{2\}^{7}\{3\}}b_{1,0}+q^{-3}\frac{\{5\}\{3\}}{\{2\}^{2}}b_{1,0}.$$

Taking $n=2,\ m=-2,\ p=-3$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$-(1+q^{-2})(\{-2\}-\{2\})b_{-2,-1}+(1+q^{2})(\{-4\}-\{2\})b_{-2,-3}+(1+q^{-2})\{-2\}b_{2,-3}=0.$$

Then

$$b_{2,-3}=\frac{\{4\}}{\{2\}}b_{1,0}-\frac{\{4\}^{4}}{\{2\}^{6}\{3\}}q^{-3}b_{1,0}+\frac{\{6\}}{\{2\}}\frac{\{3\}^{2}}{\{5\}}q^{-3}+\frac{\{6\}\{4\}^{4}}{\{2\}^{9}\{5\}}q^{-3}b_{1,0}.$$

Comparing to the previous result, we deduce that $b_{1,0} = 0$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} &b_{1,p} = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. \\ &b_{-1,p} = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ &b_{-2,p} = 0 \quad \forall p \neq 1,\ 2. \\ &b_{2,p} = 0 \quad \text{for } p = -2,\ -4,\ -3. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n = 2,\ m = -1$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$(1 + q^2)(\{p + 1\} - \{-1\})b_{2,p-1} - (1 + q^{-1})(\{p + 3\} - \{-1\})b_{2,p} = 0.$$

Hence

$$b_{2,p} = q\frac{1 + q^2}{1 + q}\frac{1 - q^{p+2}}{1 - q^{p+4}}b_{2,p-1} \text{ for } p \neq -4,\ b_{2,p-1} = q^{-1}\frac{1 + q}{1 + q^2}\frac{1 - q^{p+4}}{1 - q^{p+2}}b_{2,p} \text{ for } p \neq -2.$$

The first formula for $p = -2$ implies $b_{2,-2} = 0$ and hence $b_{2,p} = 0$ for $p \geq -2$.
The second formula for $p = -4$ implies $b_{2,-5} = 0$ and hence $b_{2,p} = 0$ for $p \leq -5$.

We take $n = -2,\ m = 2,\ p = -1$ in (3.19) and recall that terms $b_{0,-1},\ b_{2,-3},\ b_{2,-1}$ and $b_{-2,-1}$ are zero. This gives

$$b_{-2,1} = 0.$$

Then by (3.27) we deduce that

$$b_{-2,2} = 0.$$

Case 5: $k > 2$
By (3.20) we have

$$(1 + q^{p+1})(\{1\} - \{n\})b_{n+1,p} \quad = \quad (1 + q^n)(\{p + 1\} - \{1\})b_{n,1+p} - (1 + q)(\{n + p + 1\} - \{1\})b_{n,p}.$$

As $k \geq 2$ the value $(1 + q^{p+1})(\{1\} - \{k\}) \neq 0$ and we get by induction trivially the statement for $k + 1$ then

$$b_{k,p} = 0\ \forall k > 2.$$

Case 6: $k < -2$
Taking $m = -1$ in (3.19) since $b_{-1,k} = 0,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$-(1+q^{p+1})(\{-1\}-\{n\})b_{n-1,p}+(1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{-1\})b_{n,-1+p}-(1+q^{-1})(\{n+p+1\}-\{-1\})b_{n,p} = 0.$$

So

$$(1+q^{p+1})(\{-1\}-\{n\})b_{n-1,p} = (1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{-1\})b_{n,-1+p}-(1+q^{-1})(\{n+p+1\}-\{-1\})b_{n,p}.$$

As $k \leq -2$ then $(1+q^{p+1})(\{-1\}-\{k\}) \neq 0$. We get by induction obviously the statement for $k-1$. Then

$$b_{k,p} = 0,\ \forall\ k < -2.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$b_{k,p} = 0,\ \forall k,\ p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let f be an even 2-cocycle of degree zero such that $f(L_1, G_n) = 0$ and $f(L_{-1}, L_2) = 0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_1^q \times \mathcal{W}_1^q$.*

Proof. By the super skew-symmetry we have $c_{p,m} = c_{m,p}$. In (3.9) we consider $s = 0$ and $n = 0$. This gives

$$-(1 + q^{p+1})\{m + 1\}c_{m,p} - (1 + q^{m+1})\{p + 1\}c_{m,p} + 2\{m + p\}c_{m,p} = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Hence,

$$2q^{m+p}(q^2 - 1)c_{m,p} = 0.$$

This implies

$$c_{m,p} = 0, \ \forall m, \ p \ \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\square$

The previous lemmas shows :

Proposition 3.7.

$$H^2_{0,0} = \{0\}.$$

In the sequel we consider the last case of even 2-cocycle of degree 2.

Lemma 3.8. *Let f be an even 2-cocycle of degree 2 such that*

$$f(L_n, L_1) = f(L_{-1}, L_2) = f(L_1, G_n) = f(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0.$$

Then the cohomology class of f is trivial.

Proof. Define g and h as those given in Lemma (3.2). Then by (3.12) we have $h(L_n, L_p) = 0$ and by (3.13) we have $h(L_n, G_p) = 0$.
Letting $s = 2$, $p = 0$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$-(1 + q)(\{m + 1\} - \{n\})c_{n+m,0} - (1 + q^{m+1})(\{1\} - \{n\})c_{n,m} + (1 + q^n)(\{m + 2\} - \{n\})c_{m,0} = 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Setting $n = 1$ and $m = 0$ in (3.29), one can deduce $c_{0,0} = 0$.
Then taking $m = 0$ in (3.29), we obtain $c_{n,0} = 0$ for $n \neq 1$.
Taking $n = 1$ and $m = 1$ in (3.29), we obtain $c_{1,0} = 0$. Then $c_{n,0} = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using this in (3.29), one has

$$c_{n,m} = 0, \ \forall n, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\square$

3.2 Second odd cohomology $H^2_1(\mathcal{W}^q, \mathcal{W}^q)$

Let $H^2_{1,s}(\mathcal{W}^q, \mathcal{W}^q)$ be the subspace of second odd cohomology group given by odd 2-cochains of degree s. Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree s. We can assume that

$$f(L_n, L_p) = a_{n,p}G_{s+n+p},\ \ f(L_n, G_p) = b_{n,p}L_{s+n+p}\ \text{ and } f(G_n, G_p) = c_{n,p}G_{s+n+p}.$$

Thus, by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^p)(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{n+m,p} + (1+q^m)(\{p\}-\{n\})a_{n+p,m} + (1+q^n)(\{p\}-\{m\})a_{n,m+p}\\ &+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+s+1\}-\{n\})a_{m,p} - (1+q^m)(\{n+p+s+1\}-\{m\})a_{n,p}\\ &+(1+q^p)(\{n+m+s+1\}-\{p\})a_{n,m} = 0. \qquad (3.30)\end{aligned}$$

By (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m\}-\{n\})b_{n+m,p} - (1+q^m)(\{p+1\}-\{n\})b_{m,n+p} + (1+q^n)(\{p+1\}-\{m\})b_{n,m+p}\\ &+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+s\}-\{n\})b_{m,p} - (1+q^m)(\{n+p+s\}-\{m\})b_{n,p} = 0. \qquad (3.31)\end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+m,p} - (1+q^{m+1})(\{p+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+p,m}\\ &+(1+q^n)(\{m+p+s+1\}-\{n\})c_{m,p} - (1+q^{m+1})(\{m+1\}-\{n+p+s\})b_{n,p} \qquad (3.32)\\ &-(1+q^{p+1})(\{p+1\}-\{n+m+s\})b_{n,m} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.9. *If $s \neq 1, -1$, the subspace $H^2_{1,s}(\mathcal{W}^q, \mathcal{W}^q)$ is trivial.*

Proof. We define an endomorphism g of $\mathcal{W}^q$ by

$$g(L_p) = \frac{1}{q^p\{s+1\}}f(L_0, L_p) \text{ and } g(G_p) = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}\{s-1\}}f(L_0, G_p).$$

By (3.3) and (2.1) we have

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = -\{p\}g(L_p) + \{p+s+1\}g(L_p),$$

so

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = q^p\{s+1\}g(L_p).$$

We define a 2-cocycle h by

$$h = f - \delta^1(g).$$

Therefore

$$h(L_0, L_p) = f(L_0, L_p) - \delta^1(g)(L_0, L_p) = q^p\{s+1\}g(L_p) - q^p\{s+1\}g(L_p) = 0. \qquad (3.33)$$

Taking $m = 0$ in (3.4), with (2.1)and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 \ &= \ (1+q^p)\{n\}f(L_n, L_p) + 2(\{p\}-\{n\})f(L_{n+p}, L_0) + (1+q^n)\{p\}f(L_n, L_p)\\ &\quad +(1+q^n)[L_n, f(L_0, L_p)] - 2[L_0, f(L_n, L_p)] + (1+q^p)[L_p, f(L_n, L_0)]. \qquad (3.34)\end{aligned}$$

Since h is a 2-cocycle, we can replace f by h in (3.34), and using (3.33) we obtain

$$0 = (1+q^p)\{n\}h(L_n,L_p) + (1+q^n)\{p\}h(L_n,L_p) - 2\{n+p+s+1\}h(L_n,L_p).$$

From this, using the fact $s \neq -1$, we obtain

$$h(L_n,L_p) = 0 \ \forall\ n,p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $g(G_p) = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}\{s-1\}}f(L_0,G_p)$. By (3.3) and (2.2) we have

$$\delta^1(g)(L_0,G_p) = -\{p+1\}g(G_p) + \{p+s\}g(G_p) = q^{p+1}\{s-1\}g(G_p).$$

Then

$$h(L_0,G_p) = f(L_0,G_p) - \delta^1(g)(L_0,G_p) = 0.$$

Taking $m = 0$ in (3.5), by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and(2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+q^{p+1})\{n\}f(L_n,G_p) + 2(\{p+1\}-\{n\})f(G_{p+n},L_0) + (1+q^n)\{p+1\}f(L_n,G_p)\\ &+(1+q^n)[L_n,f(L_0,G_p)] - 2[L_0,f(L_n,G_p)] + (-1)^{|f|}(1+q^{p+1})[G_p,f(L_n,L_0)] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since h is a 2-cocycle and $h(L_0,G_p) = h(L_0,L_n) = 0$, we can deduce that

$$(1+q^{p+1})\{n\}h(L_n,G_p) + (1+q^n)\{p+1\}h(L_n,G_p) - 2\{p+n+s\}h(L_n,G_p) = 0,$$

which implies that $h(L_n,G_p) = 0$ under the condition $s \neq 1$.
Taking $n = 0$ in (3.6), since $[G_m,G_p] = h(L_n,G_p) = h(L_n,L_p) = 0$ and h is a 2-cocycle we have

$$-h([L_0,G_m],\alpha(G_p)) - h([L_0,G_p],\alpha(G_m)) + [\alpha(L_0),h(G_m,G_p)] = 0.$$

Then

$$-(1+q^{p+1})\{m+1\}h(G_m,G_p) - (1+q^{m+1})\{p+1\}h(G_p,G_m) + 2\{m+p+s+1\}h(G_m,G_p) = 0.$$

So, under the condition $s \neq 1$, $h(G_m,G_p) = 0$.
We deduce that $h \equiv 0$. Hence f is a coboundary. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree one and g be an odd endomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^q$. If $h = f - \delta^1(g)$ then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z},\ m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, we have*

$$h(L_n,L_1) = 0,\ h(L_1,G_m) = 0,\ h(L_2,L_{-1}) = 0,\ \text{and } h(L_{-1},G_1) = 0.$$

Proof. Since f is an odd 2-cocycle of degree 1, we can assume that $f(L_n,L_m) = f_{n,m}G_{n+m+1}$ and $f(L_n,G_m) = f'_{n,m}L_{n+m+1}$.
Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{-1} &= 0,\\
a_1 &= \frac{1}{q^2-1}f_{-1,1} + \frac{1}{q^2-q^3}f_{0,1},\\
a_n &= \frac{1}{\{n+2\}-\{1\}}\big(-f_{n,1} + (\{3\}-\{n\})a_1 + (\{n\}-\{1\})a_{n+1}\big) \quad \forall n < -1,\\
a_0 &= \frac{1}{q-1}f_{-1,1} + \frac{1+q^{-2}}{1-q}f_{0,1},\\
a_2 &= \frac{1-q}{q^4-q^{-1}}f_{2,-1} + \frac{q^3-1}{(q^5-1)(q^2-1)}f_{-1,1} - \frac{q^3-1}{(q^5-1)(q^3-q^2)}f_{0,1},\\
a_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{\{n\}-\{1\}}\big(f_{n,1} - (\{3\}-\{n\})a_1 + (\{n+2\}-\{1\})a_n\big), \quad \forall n > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_1 = \frac{1}{\{2\}-\{-1\}} f'_{-1,1},\\
&b_0 = 0,\\
&b_m = b_{m+1} + \frac{1}{\{m+1\}-\{1\}} f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m < 0,\\
&b_{m+1} = b_m + \frac{1}{\{1\}-\{m+1\}} f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let g be an odd endomorphism of degree 1 of $\mathcal{W}^q$ given recursively by $g(L_n) = a_n G_{n+1}$ and $g(G_n) = b_n L_{n+1}$.
By (3.3) and (3.3) we have recursively

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n, L_m) = \Big((\{n\}-\{m\})a_{n+m} + (\{m+2\}-\{n\})a_m - (\{n+2\}-\{m\})a_n\Big) G_{n+m+1},$$

and

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n, G_m) = -(\{m+1\}-\{n\})(b_{n+m}-b_m)L_{n+m+1}. \tag{3.35}$$

If $h = f - \delta^1(g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{n,1} = f_{n,1} - \big((\{n\}-\{1\})a_{n+1} + (\{3\}-\{n\})a_1 - (\{n+2\}-\{1\})a_n\big) = 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},\\
&h_{2,-1} = f_{2,-1} - \big((\{2\}-\{-1\})a_1 + (\{1\}-(\{2\})a_{-1} - (\{4\}-\{-1\})a_2\big) = 0,\\
&h'_{1,m} = f'_{1,m} + (\{m+1\}-\{1\})(b_{m+1}-b_m) = 0 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*,\\
&h'_{-1,1} = f'_{-1,1} + \{2\}(b_0 - b_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree one such that $f(L_n, L_1) = 0$ and $f(L_{-1}, L_2) = 0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_0^q \times \mathcal{W}_0^q$.*

Proof. We consider the linear map g defined by $g(L_n) = \frac{1}{q^n\{2\}}$ and $g(G_n) = 0$ and argue as in proof of Lemma 3.9 ,

□

Lemma 3.12. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree one such that $f(L_{-1}, G_1) = f(L_2, L_{-1}) = 0$ and $f(L_1, L_n) = f(L_1, G_m) = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_0^q \times \mathcal{W}_1^q$.*

Proof. Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree one. Then using (3.31) we obtain exactly the same equation as (3.19). Therefore the proof is similar to Lemma 3.5.

□

Lemma 3.13. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree one such that $f(L_2, L_{-1}) = 0$, $f(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0$, $f(L_1, L_n) = 0$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(L_1, G_m) = 0$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial.*

Proof. Since f is an odd 2-cocycle of degree one, we can assume that

$$f(G_m, G_n) = f(G_n, G_m) \quad = \quad c_{n,m} G_{n+m+1}.$$

Since $b_{n,k} = 0,\ s = 1$, then (3.6) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}&-(1+q^{p+1})(\{m+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+m,p} - (1+q^{m+1})(\{p+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+p,m}\\&+(1+q^{n})(\{m+p+2\}-\{n\})c_{m,p} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Therefore, if $p = 0$, we obtain

$$-(1+q)(\{m+1\}-\{n\})c_{n+m,0} - (1+q^{m+1})(\{1\}-\{n\})c_{n,m} + (1+q^{n})(\{m+2\}-\{n\})c_{m,0} = 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Taking $n = 1,\ m = -1$ in (3.37), we obtain $c_{0,0} = 0$.
Taking $m = 0$ in (3.37), we obtain (with $c_{0,0} = 0$) $c_{n,0} = 0,\ \forall n \neq 1$. Taking $n = 1,\ m = 1$ in (3.37), we obtain (with $c_{2,0} = 0$) $c_{1,0} = 0$. We deduce that

$$c_{n,0} = 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.38}$$

Using this in (3.37), we get $c_{n,m} = 0,\ \forall (n,m) \neq (1,1)$.
Taking $n = 2,\ m = 1,\ p = 1$ in (3.36), we obtain $c_{1,1} = 0$.

□

Finally,

Proposition 3.14.

$$H^2_{1,1} = \{0\}.$$

Now we consider odd cocycles of degree -1.

Lemma 3.15. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree -1 and g be an odd endomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^q$. If $h = f - \delta^1(g)$ then $h(L_1, L_n) = 0,\ h(L_1, G_m) = 0,\ h(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0$ and $h(L_2, L_{-1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since f is an odd 2-cocycle of degree -1, we assume that $f(L_n, L_m) = f_{n,m} G_{n+m-1}$ and $f(L_n, G_m) = f'_{n,m} L_{n+m-1}$.
Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}&a_0 = -f_{1,0},\\&a_n = a_{n+1} - \frac{1}{\{1\}-\{n\}} f_{1,n} \quad \forall n \leq 0,\\&a_1 = 0\\&a_2 = -\frac{1}{\{2\}-\{-1\}} f_{2,-1} - a_{-1},\\&a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\{1\}-\{n\}} f_{1,n} + a_n, \quad \forall n > 1.\end{aligned}$$

Let $(b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0 &= -\frac{q}{1+q}f'_{1,0},\\
b_m &= \frac{\{m+1\}-\{1\}}{\{m-1\}-\{1\}}b_{m+1} + \frac{1}{\{m-1\}-\{1\}}f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m<0,\\
b_1 &= qf'_{-1,1} - q\frac{\{3\}}{\{2\}}f'_{1,0},\\
b_{m+1} &= \frac{\{1\}-\{m-1\}}{\{1\}-\{m+1\}}b_m + \frac{1}{\{1\}-\{m+1\}}f'_{1,m} \quad \forall m\geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let g be an odd endomorphism of degree -1 of $\mathcal{W}^q$ given recursively by $g(L_n) = a_nG_{n-1}$ and $g(G_n) = b_nL_{n-1}$. By (3.3) and (3.3) we have recursively

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n, L_m) = (\{n\}-\{m\})\Big(a_{n+m} - a_m - a_n\Big)G_{n+m-1},$$

and

$$\delta^1(g)(L_n, G_m) = \Big((\{n\}-\{m+1\})b_{n+m} + (\{m-1\}-\{n\})b_m\Big)L_{n+m-1}. \tag{3.39}$$

If $h = f - \delta^1(g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1,n} &= f_{1,n} - (\{1\}-\{n\})(a_{n+1}-a_n) = 0 \ \forall n\in\mathbb{Z},\\
h_{2,-1} &= f_{2,-1} - (\{2\}-\{-1\})(a_1-a_2-a_{-1}) = 0.\\
h'_{1,m} &= f'_{1,m} - \Big((\{1\}-\{m+1\})b_{m+1} + (\{m-1\}-\{1\})b_m\Big) = 0 \ \forall m\in\mathbb{Z}.\\
h'_{-1,1} &= f'_{-1,1} - (\{-1\}-\{2\})b_0 + \{-1\}b_1 = 0\ .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.16. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree -1 such that $f(L_n, L_1) = 0$ and $f(L_2, L_{-1}) = 0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial.*

Proof. Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree -1. Then plugging $s=-1$ in (3.30) leads to equation (3.14). Therefore the proof goes the same as Lemma 3.4. □

Lemma 3.17. *Let f be an odd 2-cocycle of degree -1 such that $f(L_1, G_n) = 0$, $f(L_{-1}, G_1) = 0$ and $f(L_2, L_{-1}) = 0$. Then the cohomology class of f is trivial on the space $\mathcal{W}_0^q\times\mathcal{W}_1^q$.*

Proof. We consider the linear map g defined by $g(L_n) = 0$ and $g(G_p) = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}\{-2\}}f(L_0, L_p)$ and argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.9 . □

Finally,

Proposition 3.18.

$$H^2_{1,-1} = \{0\}$$

References

[1] Aizawa N., Sato H.: *q-Deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension,* Physics Letters B, Phys. Lett. B **256**, no. 1, 185–190 (1991).

[2] Ammar F., Ejbehi Z. and Makhlouf A., *Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras,* Journal of Lie Theory **21** No. 4, (2011) 813–836.

[3] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*, J. Algebra **324** (7), (2010) 1513–1528.

[4] Ammar F., Makhlouf A. and Saadaoui N., *Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q-deformed Witt superalgebra*, e-print ArXiv 0464507 (2012)

[5] Benayadi S., Makhlouf A.: *H*om-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear forms, e-Print: arXiv:1009.4226 (2010).

[6] Fialowski A., *Deformations of Lie algebras*, Mat. Sbornyik USSR, 127 (169), (1985), 476–482; English translation: Math. USSR-Sb., **55**, (1986), no. 2, 467–473.

[7] Fialowski, A., *Deformations of some infinite-dimensional Lie algebras.* J. Math. Phys. **31**, 13401343 (1990)

[8] Fialowski A., *On the cohomology of the Lie algebra L2*, Pacific Journal of Math., **172** (1996), 399–412

[9] Fialowski A. and Fuchs D., *Construction of versal deformation of Lie algebra*, Journal of Functional Analysis **161** (1999), 76–110.

[10] Fialowski, A., *Formal rigidity of the Witt and Virasoro algebra*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **53** (2012), 073501.

[11] Fialowski, A., Schlichenmaier, M., *Global deformations of the Witt algebra of Krichever-Novikov type*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **5** (6) (2003), 921–946.

[12] Fuks D.B., *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Plenum, New York, 1986.

[13] Gelfand, I.M., Fuchs, D.B., *Cohomologies of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle.* Funct. Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), 342–343.

[14] Guieu, L., Roger, C., *Lalgèbre et le groupe de Virasoro.* Les publications CRM, Montreal 2007.

[15] Gerstenhaber M., *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann of Math., **78** (2) (1963), 267–288.

[16] Gerstenhaber M., *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann of Math. **79** (1) (1964), 59–108.

[17] Hartwig, J. T., Larsson, D., Silvestrov, S.D., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations,* J. Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.

[18] Hu N., *q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorphe structure and representations,* Algebra Colloq. **6** , no. 1 (1999), 51–70 .

[19] Kac, V.G., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[20] Loday J-L., D., Pirashvilli T., *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebra. and (co)homology.* Math Ann, 1993, 296: 139–158

[21] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, Central Extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities,* J. Algebra **288** (2005), 321–344.

[22] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-Lie algebras*, in "Noncommutative Geometry and Representation Theory in Mathematical Physics", Contemp. Math., 391, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2005), 241-248.

[23] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Quasi-deformations of* $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ *using twisted derivations*, Communications in Algebra **35**, Issue 12, (2007) 4303–4318

[24] Larsson D. and Silvestrov S. D., *Graded quasi-Lie algebras*, Czech. J. Phys. **55** (2005), 1473–1478.

[25] Liu K., *Characterizations of quantum Witt algebra*, Lett. Math. Phy. **24** (1992), 257–265.

[26] Makhlouf A., *Paradigm of Nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras,* Proceedings of Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis Meeting, Eds: J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramirez Ivarez, Publishing house: Circulo Rojo (2010), 145–177.

[27] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-algebra structures,* J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2) (2008), 51–64 .

[28] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras,* Forum Math, vol. **22** (4) (2010) 715–759.

[29] Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras,* J. of Algebra and Its Applications Vol. **9** (4) (2010), 553–589.

[30] Retakh V. S. and Feigin B. L., *On the cohomology of some Lie algebras and superalgebras of vector fields,* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk [Russian Math. Surveys], **37** (1982), no. 2, 233234.

[31] Sheng Y., *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras,* Algebra and Representation Theory, **15** (6) (2012), 1081-1098. DOI:10.1007/s10468-011-9280-8

[32] Scheunert M., *Generalized Lie algebras.* J. Math. Phys. 20, no. 4 (1979), 712–720.

[33] Scheunert M., *The theory of Lie superalgebras. An introduction.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **716** Springer Verlag Berlin, 1979.

[34] Scheunert M. and Zhang R. B., *Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and their generalizations.* J. Math. Phys. **39**, no. 9, (1998) 5024–5061.

[35] Schlichenmaier M., *An elementary proof of the vanishing of the second cohomology of the Witt and Virasoro algebra with values in the adjoint module*, e-print arXiv:1111.6625v4 [math.RA] (2012)

[36] Yau D., *Enveloping algebra of Hom-Lie algebras,* J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2), 95–108 (2008).

[37] Yau D., *Hom-algebras and homology,* J. Lie Theory **19** (2009) 409–421.

[38] Yau D.: *Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras,* J. Phys. A **42**,2009 165–202.